UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/597,248	07/18/2006	Gertjan Yntema	NL 040108	8313
	7590 09/21/200 LLECTUAL PROPER	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001	MANOR, NY 10510	BITAR, NANCY		
DNIAKCLITT	VIAINOIN, INT TOSTO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/597,2	248	YNTEMA, GERTJAN		
		Examine	r	Art Unit		
		NANCY	BITAR	2624		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commur or Reply	nication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coming period for reply is specified above, the maximum signer to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF T s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e munication. tatutory period will apply and v v will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATIC vent, however, may a reply be twill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from plication to become ABANDON	N. imely filed  the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 15 July 2009				
'=	,	2b)⊠ This action is	non-final			
′=	Since this application is in condition	<i>'</i> —		rosecution as to the merits is		
ا ال	closed in accordance with the pract	•	•			
Dispositi	on of Claims	,	•			
	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the	annlication				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	• •	onsideration			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	are withdrawn from o	onoideration.			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.					
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	requirement			
		ction and/or election	requirement.			
Applicati	on Papers					
, —	The specification is objected to by th		_			
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 June 200</u>	<u>8</u> is/are: a)⊠ accep	ted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any obje					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	•		-		
11) 🔲	11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>						
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
		on for a list of the cer	шей соргез постесету	Gu.		
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/24/2009.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/597,248 Page 2

Art Unit: 2624

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Response to Arguments

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 7/15/2009 has been entered.

- 1. Claims 1-13 are currently amended.
- 2. Claims 1-13 are pending.
- 3. The 35 USC 101 rejections of claims 1-13 has been withdrawn.
- 4. Applicants arguments filed 6/15/2009 have been fully considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by the amendments. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Sollish et al (WO 98-08180)

## **Examiner Notes**

5. Examiner cites particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims below for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings in the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested that, in preparing responses, the applicant fully consider the references in entirety as potentially

Application/Control Number: 10/597,248 Page 3

Art Unit: 2624

teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

## **Double Patenting**

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 13 is rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 19-20 of U.S. Patent No. US 7,263,201. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other for instance:

Claims 19 of U.S. 7,263,201	Claim 13 of this application 10/597248
A method of providing a watermark on a	A method of providing a watermark on a
record carrier the method comprising acts of:	computer readable memory medium the
	method comprising acts of:

Art Unit: 2624

receiving uncoded data,	receiving uncoded data,
receiving information about the watermark	receiving first information relating to the
which is to be provided in the encoded data	watermark, which first information is to be
	provided in the encoded data,
	receiving second information relating to the
	watermark, which second information is to be
	provided in a non data area
	encoding the uncoded data to encoded data by
encoding the uncoded data to encoded data by	means of a channel code, in which a parameter
means of a channel code, in which a parameter	of the channel code is controlled under the
of the channel code is controlled under the	influence of the first information relating to the
influence of the information about the	watermark for introducing a predetermined run
watermark for introducing a predetermined run	length distribution in the marks on the record
length distribution in the marks on the record	carrier
carrier, so that the watermark is detectable on	
the record carrier;	
storing the encoded data on the record carrier	storing the encoded data on the computer
	readable memory medium to render the
	watermark visually discernable in a data area
(claim 20) The method as claimed in claim ii,	

Application/Control Number: 10/597,248 Page 5

Art Unit: 2624

wherein in that the record carrier has two areas, in which the parameter is controlled in a first area for introducing the predetermined run length distribution in the marks on the record carrier, so that the watermark is detectable on the record carrier, while no watermark is present in a second area

storing the second information in the non-data area to render the watermark visually discernable in the non-data area wherein the watermark is visually discernable without a device discerning the watermark.

Note the comparison above, claim 13 of the instant application deletes number of limitation such as a first information and second information as recited in claim 19-20 of the U.S. Patent No. 7,263,201. It would have been obvious to use the different areas as recited in claim 20 of the US patent 7,263,201 as the second information of the instant application in order to render the watermark visually discernable in a data area. As to independent claims 1-12, these claims are analyzed as previously discussed with respect to independent claim 1-20 of US patent 7,263,201.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/597,248

Art Unit: 2624

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 6

4. Claims 1- 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murakami et al (EP 0997899) in view of Yntema et al (US 7,263,201) and further in view Sollish et al (WO 98/08180)

As to claims 1 and 13, Murakami et al teaches a computer readable memory medium comprising a data area (22) for storing data in the form of marks (The optical disk 100 includes a main information area for recording main information 110 and an additional information area for recording additional information 101. figure 1A, paragraph [0021]), in which the data is encoded by means of a channel code, wherein a parameter of the channel code is controlled so as to introduce a predetermined run length distribution in the marks on the record carrier, thereby introducing first information relating to a visually discernable watermark, and a non-data area ( The additional information area is located at a predetermined area in the inner peripheral portion of the optical disk, but it may be located at a predetermined area in the outer peripheral portion which are different than the lead-in or lead out area; paragraph [0021]) comprising second information comprising picture and/or text information relating to a visually discernable watermark (A secret key generated from the BCA signals, ID1, is compared with disk ID2 read from the superposed signals of the video signals, note that the BCA data is superimposed to the main area in a visually discernable watermarking effect, paragraph [0092]), the first and the second information forming the visually discernable watermark (paragraph [0093], figure 20). While Murakami meets a number of the limitations of the claimed invention, as pointed out more Application/Control Number: 10/597,248

Art Unit: 2624

fully above, Murakami fails to specifically teach the first information relating to the visually discernable watermark is introduced by controlling a parameter of the channel code to introduce a predetermined run length distribution in the marks on the disc. Yntema et al clearly teaches the EFM+ channel code to modify the run length distribution of the encoded data in such a way that a watermark can be provided. It is possible to change the run length distribution by the choice of the channel word for information words 1 up to and including 88. It is also possible to change the run length distribution by the choice of sync words or encoding states (column 1, lines 51column 2, lines 63). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to control a parameter of the channel code to introduce a predetermined run length distribution in the marks on the disc in Murakami in order to improve the digital watermarking verification process, and decreases the number of illegal copies. Neither Murakami nor Yntema teaches that the visually discernable watermark is visually discernable without a device discerning the visually discernable watermark. Specifically, Sollish et al teaches a symbols belonging to two classes of non-standard codes in precise predetermined locations. One class provides symbols which, when read many times by a standard optical media reader, are decoded as valid but having variable values. A second class embodies codes which are immediately recognized by the player's decoder as invalid. The first class of non-standard codes can be read by a standard optical media reader but cannot be written or reproduced by standard optical media recorders and mastering equipment, and its presence on optical media thereby serves to identify the optical media as authentic, as opposed to an unauthorized copy, which will lack these special symbols. Symbols belonging to the second class of non-standard codes serve to protect the reading of symbols belonging to the first class from being altered or stabilized by the error-correcting system of the

Page 7

player. Therefore, Sollish clearly teaches patterns combining symbols of these two classes provide a discernable watermark. (see page 26 lines 28-page 27 line 14; page 31 lines 16-line 26; figure 9 and page 35 lines 19-page 36 line 13). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have the have a visually discernable watermark without a device in order to automatically verifying the authenticity of optical media and protecting the data recorded thereon from being usable except when present on authentic media. Therefore, the claimed invention would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by applicant.

The limitation of claim 2 has been addressed in Sollish et al (page 9, lines 19 – page 10, line 7)

As to claim 3, Murakami et al teaches the computer readable memory medium as claimed in claim 2, wherein the first and the second information is orientated with respect to each other using position information (54) present in the non-data area (If the existence of the additional information is determined according to the identifier, the optical head is moved to a predetermined position in the optical disk where the additional information is recorded, paragraph [0093]).

As to claim 4, Murakami et al teaches the computer readable memory medium as claimed in claim 2, wherein the computer readable memory medium further comprises angle information indicating a predetermined angle between the first information and the second information (figure 6).

Art Unit: 2624

As to claim5, Murakami et al teaches the computer readable memory medium as claimed in claim 4, wherein the predetermined angle is used as authentication information (paragraph [0021] and paragraph [0097]).

As to claim 6, Murakami et al teaches the computer readable memory medium as claimed in claim 1, wherein the non-data area is an inner-ring area (21) and/or an outer-ring area (23) (The additional information area is located at a predetermined area in the inner peripheral portion of the optical disk, but it may be located at a predetermined area in the outer peripheral portion, paragraph [0021]).

As to claim 7, Murakami et al teaches the computer readable memory medium as claimed in claim 1, wherein the non-data area is a graphics band, text band, matrix band or identification band (figure 1B).

As to claim 8, Murakami et al teaches a computer readable memory medium as claimed in claim 1, wherein the second information comprises at least one picture and text information (paragraph [0103])

As to claim 9, Murakami teaches the computer readable memory medium as claimed in claim 1, the data area comprising a pattern of substantially parallel tracks, wherein the predetermined run length distribution is correlated from track to track, so that the first information is visually detectable (paragraph [0092-0093]).

As to claim 10, Murakami teaches a computer readable memory medium as claimed in claim 1, wherein a portion of the first information is non-visually detectable (paragraph [0092-93]).

As to claim 11-12, Murakami teaches a computer readable memory medium as claimed in claim 1, in which the channel code is the EFM channel code as used for the CD Digital Audio disc, wherein the parameter is the choice of merging bits (figure 20).

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NANCY BITAR whose telephone number is (571)270-1041. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (7:30a.m. to 5:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vikkram Bali can be reached on 571-272-7415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Nancy Bitar/ Examiner, Art Unit 2624

/VIKKRAM BALI/